# **TMUA 2023 Paper 2 Solutions** (13 pages; 11/9/24)

# Q1

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}-6} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}+6} = \frac{3}{11}$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \frac{12}{x-36} = \frac{3}{11} = \frac{12}{44}$$
  
$$\Rightarrow x - 36 = 44 \& \text{ so } x = 80$$

### Answer : H

# Q2

Integral = 
$$\int_{9}^{16} 4 \, dx = [4x]_{9}^{16} = 4(16 - 9) = 28$$

Answer : F

# Q3

### Answer : C

# Q4

VI is incorrect : 3 is a multiple of 3, but is prime

### Answer : G

If R is true, then  $\int_0^k \sin 2x \, dx = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\cos 2x\right]_0^k$   $= -\frac{1}{2}(1-1) = 0$ ; so  $R \Rightarrow S$ If S is true, then  $\left[-\frac{1}{2}\cos 2x\right]_0^k = 0$ , so that  $(\cos 2k) - 1 = 0$ , and hence 2k is an integer multiple of  $2\pi$ ; ie R is true **Answer : A** 

#### Q6

 $a^x = x$  is equivalent to  $log_a x = x$ , so I has the same number of sol'ns as (\*)

$$a^x = x \Rightarrow a^{2x} = x^2$$
 , but  $a^{2x} = x^2 \Rightarrow a^x = x$  or  $a^x = -x$ 

[so potentially there may be extra sol'ns to II where x < 0]

Consider a = 2, and let  $y = 2^{x} + x$ . We want to see if there are any (negative) values of x for which y = 0:

When 
$$x = -1$$
,  $y = -\frac{1}{2} < 0$ , and when  $x = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2}$ 
$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2} > 0$$

So, as  $2^x + x$  is a continuous function, the change of sign means That there is a solution to  $2^x + x = 0$ , and thus to  $a^x = -x$ . So II has more sol'ns than (\*).

Finally, there is a 1-1 correspondence between sol'ns of  $a^x = x$ and sol'ns of  $a^{2x} = 2x$  (setting y = 2x), so that III has the same number of sol'ns as (\*).

### Answer: F

# Q7

For ax + by = c, a positive gradient means that  $-\frac{a}{b} > 0$ , or  $\frac{a}{b} < 0$ ,

and a positive y-intercept means that  $\frac{c}{h} > 0$ 

Let (\*) be the situation where both the gradient and the yintercept are positive.

Thus, A is equivalent to (\*); ie A is a necessary and sufficient condition for (\*).

B:  $\frac{a}{b} > 0$  is not a necessary condition

C: Let a = -1, b = 2 & c = 3, so that

 $\frac{a}{b} = -\frac{1}{2} < 0$  and  $\frac{c}{b} = \frac{3}{2} > 0$ , and hence (\*) is satisfied

But it is not true that a > b > c, so that this is not a necessary condition for (\*).

D: Now let a = -1, b = 3 & c = 2, so that

 $\frac{a}{b} = -\frac{1}{3} < 0$  and  $\frac{c}{b} = \frac{2}{3} > 0$ , and hence (\*) is satisfied

But it is not true that a < b < c, so that this is not a necessary condition for (\*).

For E & F: Suppose that b > 0. Then  $(*) \Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} < 0 \Rightarrow a < 0$ 

and  $\frac{c}{b} > 0 \Rightarrow c > 0$ 

If instead b < 0. Then  $(*) \Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} < 0 \Rightarrow a > 0$ 

fmng.uk

and 
$$\frac{c}{b} > 0 \Rightarrow c < 0$$

Thus (as  $b \neq 0$ ), a & c must be of opposite sign; ie this is a necessary condition.

So F can be ruled out.

By elimination, we can conclude that E is the correct answer.

[E is not sufficient: consider the case a = 1, b = 1, c = -1, where

```
\frac{a}{b} > 0, so that (*) is not satisfied.]
```

Answer : E

**Q8** 



In the case of an obtuse-angled triangle (as in A), III will always hold. In the case of an acute-angled triangle (as in B), III will again always hold. [The 'altitudes' of an acute-angled triangle always meet at a point (the 'othocentre') inside the triangle.]

Answer : D

Statement (\*) is clearly not true (the sum of the angles in a pentagon is  $(5 - 2) \times 180 = 540^{\circ}$ , and so the other angles need only average 108°). The contrapositive of (\*) is mathematically equivalent to (\*), and so is also not true. [The contrapositive of  $A \Rightarrow B$  is  $B' \Rightarrow A'$ ]

The converse of (\*) is: "If the interior angle form an arithmetic sequence, then at least one of them is  $108^{\circ}$ "

To investigate this, suppose that the smallest angle is  $\alpha$ , and that the common difference of the sequence is *d*.

Then 
$$5 \times \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + [\alpha + 4d]) = 5(\alpha + 2d) = 540$$
,

so that  $\alpha + 2d = 108$ , and thus one of the angles is  $108^{\circ}$ .

So the converse of (\*) is true.

## Answer : D

# Q10

Answer : A

# Q11

Writing *A* is the event that  $2^k + 1$  is prime, and *B* is the event that *k* is a power of 2:

 $(*) \equiv A \Rightarrow B$   $I \equiv B \Rightarrow A$  (the converse of (\*)), and so  $I \not\equiv (*)$   $II \equiv A' \Rightarrow B' \equiv B \Rightarrow A [A' \Rightarrow B' \text{ is the contrapositive of}$  $B \Rightarrow A$ , and so  $II \not\equiv (*)$   $III \equiv A \Rightarrow B$ , and so  $III \equiv (*)$ 

#### Answer : G

### Q12

Statement I means that:

"If  $sinxcos^2 x = p^2 sinx$  has 3 solutions, then p > 1" Now,  $sinxcos^2 x = p^2 sinx \Rightarrow sinx(p^2 - cos^2 x) = 0$  $x = 0, \pi \& 2\pi$  are 3 solutions Suppose now that  $p^2 - cos^2 x = 0$ . Then  $cosx = \pm p$ . This gives rise to further solutions, unless p > 1 or p < -1(when  $p = \pm 1, x = 0, \pi$  or  $2\pi$ )

So statement I is not true.

Statement II means that:

"If  $sinxcos^2 x = p^2 sinx$  has 7 solutions, then -1 "["Only if" is equivalent to "is sufficient for"] $There will be 7 solutions when <math>p^2 - cos^2 x = 0$  (\*) has 4 solutions, other than  $x = 0, \pi$  or  $2\pi$ As before, when  $p = \pm 1$ , (\*)  $\Rightarrow x = 0, \pi$  or  $2\pi$ . When p < -1 or p > 1, (\*) has no solutions. And when  $-1 , (*), <math>cosx = \pm p$ , which gives 4 solutions (not including  $x = 0, \pi$  or  $2\pi$ ). So statement II is true.

### Answer : C

### Q13

[It may be worth starting at C, as the examiners could well be expecting most candidates to start at A, or E!]  $C \Rightarrow A, C \Rightarrow B, C \Rightarrow D \& C \Rightarrow E$  so C is the correct answer  $[A \Rightarrow B \& A \Rightarrow C, \text{ so } A \text{ is ruled out}]$  $[B \Rightarrow A, B \Rightarrow C, B \Rightarrow D \& B \Rightarrow E, \text{ so } B \text{ is not the answer}]$  $[D \Rightarrow A, D \Rightarrow B, \text{ so } D \text{ is ruled out}]$  $[E \Rightarrow A, E \Rightarrow B, E \Rightarrow C, \text{ so } E \text{ is ruled out}]$ 

Answer : C

### Q14

It is assumed that the wording means "If ANY two of the lines ..."

[Always assume the simplest interpretation.]

Suppose that the 1<sup>st</sup> two lines are parallel. Then  $-\frac{a}{b} = -\frac{b}{c}$  (1)

The gradient of the 3<sup>rd</sup> is  $-\frac{c}{a} = -\frac{b^2}{a}$ 

If the 3 gradients are the same, then  $-\frac{b^2}{a} = -\frac{a}{b}$ , so that  $b^3 = a^2$ .

But (1) can be satisfied without  $b^3 = a^2$  holding, so A is not correct.

If the 3<sup>rd</sup> line is perpendicular to the other two, then

fmng.uk

$$\left(-\frac{c}{a}\right)\left(-\frac{a}{b}\right) = -1; \text{ ie } c = -b$$

Again, (1) can be satisfied without c = -b holding, so B is not correct.

If the 3<sup>rd</sup> line is parallel to y = x, then  $-\frac{c}{a} = 1$ , so that c = -a

Once again, (1) can be satisfied without c = -a holding, so C is not correct.

If the 3<sup>rd</sup> line is perpendicular to y = x, then  $-\frac{c}{a} = -1$ , so that c = a

And again, (1) can be satisfied without c = a holding, so D is not correct.

Suppose that the 1<sup>st</sup> two lines are perpendicular. Then  $\left(-\frac{a}{b}\right)\left(-\frac{b}{c}\right) = -1$ ; ie c = -a (2)

[Leave E for the moment, as it is more complicated to investigate.]

As before, if the 3<sup>rd</sup> line is parallel to y = x, then  $-\frac{c}{a} = 1$ , so that c = -a, which is consistent with (2), and if c = -a then the 3<sup>rd</sup> line is parallel to y = x.

[We have to be careful to show that the 3<sup>rd</sup> line being parallel is a necessary condition, rather than a sufficient condition.]

If instead the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> lines are perpendicular, then  $\left(-\frac{a}{b}\right)\left(-\frac{c}{a}\right) = -1$ ; ie c = -b, and the gradient of the 2<sup>nd</sup> line is  $-\frac{b}{c} = 1$ , so that the 2nd line is parallel to y = x.

And if instead the 2nd and 3<sup>rd</sup> lines are perpendicular, then  $\left(-\frac{b}{c}\right)\left(-\frac{c}{a}\right) = -1$ ; ie b = -a, and the gradient of the 1st line is

 $-\frac{a}{b} = 1$ , so that the 1st line is parallel to y = x.

Hence F is correct.

#### Answer : F

#### Q15

$$0.00110011 \dots = (2^{-3} + 2^{-4}) + (2^{-7} + 2^{-8}) + (2^{-11} + 2^{-12}) \dots$$
$$= (2^{-3} + 2^{-4})(1 + 2^{-4} + 2^{-8} + \dots)$$
$$= \frac{1}{8} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-4}} = \frac{3}{16} \cdot \frac{16}{15} = \frac{1}{5}$$

#### Answer: B

### Q16

As 7 is a divisor of  $u_1 = a \& u_2 = b$ , it is a divisor of  $u_3 = u_1 + u_2$ , and of  $u_4 = u_2 + u_3$  etc; so that 7 is a divisor of  $u_{2023}$ ;

ie statement I is true

 $A \Rightarrow B$  is equivalent to  $B' \Rightarrow A'$  [consider Venn diagram, where  $A \subset B$ ]

So Statement II is equivalent to:

"If  $u_1$  is a factor of  $u_n$  for some n > 1,

then  $u_1$  is a factor of  $u_2$ " (\*)

Consider  $u_1 = 2, u_2 = 3$ ; so that  $u_3 = 5, u_4 = 8$ 

Then (\*) doesn't hold (and we can also see directly that Statement II isn't true).

For Statement III:

Now the HCF of a & b is 7, so that a = 7m & b = 7n, where the HCF of the positive integers m & n is 1. Then  $u_3 = a + b$ ,  $u_4 = (a + b) + b$  and  $u_5 = (a + 2b) + (a + b) = 2a + 3b$ [On account of the presence of 3b here:] Consider the case of a = 21 & b = 7. Then  $u_5 = 2(21) + 3(7)$ = 21(2 + 1), and as  $u_1 \& u_5$  have a HCF of 21, this provides a counterexample to Statement III, which is therefore not true. Thus only Statement I is true.

#### Answer : B

### Q17

Value of integral is area under the 'curve':

$$2^{1} \cdot 1 + 2^{2} \cdot 1 + \dots + 2^{99} \cdot 1$$
  
=  $2 \cdot \frac{2^{99} - 1}{2 - 1} = 2^{100} - 2$ 

Answer: F

#### Q18

If  $b^2 > 4c$ , then the equation  $y^2 + by + c = 0$  (\*) has the distinct roots  $y = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4c}}{2}$ 

Then, in order for the equation  $x^4 + bx^2 + c = 0$  to have 4 distinct roots, both of the roots of (\*) must be positive, so that

$$-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4c} > 0$$
; ie  $\sqrt{b^2 - 4c} < -b$ ,

which requires b < 0 and c > 0

Thus, sufficient and necessary conditions for 4 distinct roots are:

$$b^2 > 4c, b < 0 \text{ and } c > 0$$
,

or equivalently  $c > 0, b < -2\sqrt{c}$ 

#### Answer : D

### Q19

Consider  $f(x) = x^2 + x - 1$ , so that f(x) = 0 has 2 distinct roots. Then  $g(x) = x(2x + 1) = 2x^2 + x$ , so that g(x) = 0 has 2 distinct roots; ie M = N

Thus Statement II is true.

Instead, let  $f(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ , so that f(x) = 0 has no roots.

Then  $g(x) = x(2x + 1) = 2x^2 + x$  again, so that g(x) = 0 has 2

distinct roots; ie M < N

Thus Statement I is true.

[If f(x) is a quadratic, then we can see from the above that g(x) = 0 will always have 2 distinct roots; thus M > N isn't possible in this situation.]

Considering the graph of y = f(x), we see that, for there to be M roots, there must be at least M - 1 turning points. [Consider a cubic, for example.] But one of these turning points could occur when x = 0. For example, if  $f(x) = x^2(x - 1) + a$ , where a > 0 is sufficiently small for the graph of y = f(x) to cross the x-axis 3

times.

Then 
$$g(x) = x[2x(x-1) + x^2] = x^2(3x-2)$$
. So  $M = 3$   
and  $N = 2$ 

Thus Statement III is also true.

#### Answer : H

### Q20

"Only if" is equivalent to "implies".

Let  $f(x) = x^2$ . Then f(|x|) = f(x) for all x, and so the integrand of  $I_{p,q}$  is zero for all x (and hence  $I_{p,q} = 0$ ), regardless of the value of p. Thus  $I_{p,q} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 < p$ , and so Statement I is not true. [It looks as if it would be difficult to prove the truth of Statement II, so it may be best to look for a counterexample first.] Consider f(x) = -x (so that f'(x) < 0 for all x). Then the integrand of  $I_{p,q}$  is  $(-x)^2 - (-|x|)^2 = 0$  for all x, and hence  $I_{p,q} = 0$ . Thus Statement II is not true. [If we were short of time, this would be a good one to guess!] Re. Statement III, if  $p \ge 0$ , then f(|x|) = f(x), and so the integrand of  $I_{p,q}$  is zero, and therefore  $I_{p,q} = 0$ . Thus if  $I_{p,q} > 0$ , it

follows that p < 0.

Thus Statement III is true.

[Alternatively, we can say that Statement III  $(I_{p,q} > 0 \Rightarrow p < 0)$  is equivalent to "not  $(p < 0) \Rightarrow not(I_{p,q} > 0)$ ", or

 $p\geq 0 \Rightarrow I_{p,q}\leq 0\;(^*)$ 

We have shown that  $p \ge 0 \Rightarrow I_{p,q} = 0$ , which means that (\*) is true.]

Answer : D